

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

**ALEJANDRA ALVAREZ-ROMERO,
ERWIN MUNOZ and
RAUL VAZQUEZ,**

Defendants.

8:16CR173

ORDER

This matter is before the court on the motion for an extension of time by defendant Raul Vazquez (Vazquez) (Filing No. 80). Vazquez seeks additional time in which to file pretrial motions in accordance with the progression order. Vazquez's counsel represents that Vazquez will file an affidavit wherein he consents to the motion and acknowledges he understands the additional time may be excludable time for the purposes of the Speedy Trial Act. Vazquez's counsel represents that government's counsel has no objection to the motion. Upon consideration, the motion will be granted, and **the pretrial motion deadline will be extended as to all defendants.**

IT IS ORDERED:

Defendant Vazquez's motion for an extension of time (Filing No. 80) is granted. **All defendants in this matter** are given until **on or before December 16, 2016**, in which to file pretrial motions pursuant to the progression order. The ends of justice have been served by granting such motion and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial. The additional **time** arising as a result of the granting of the motion, i.e., **the time between November 16, 2016, and December 16, 2016**, shall be deemed **excludable** time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act for the reason defendants' counsel require additional time to adequately prepare the case, taking into consideration due diligence of counsel, and the novelty and complexity of this case. The failure to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B).

DATED this 16th day of November, 2016.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Thomas D. Thalken
United States Magistrate Judge